

From State-Centric to Security Complexes: A Review of Regional Security Complex Theory in International Relations

Shafiullah Farzayee

Independence Reseacher - Kabul
farzayee.shafiullah1@gmail.com

DOI: 10.32699/resolusi.v6i2.4560

Submitted: 2023-09-27, Revised: 2023-12-25, Accepted: 2023-12-28

Abstract

Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) has gained prominence as a valuable framework for analyzing the complex interplay of security interactions within and across regions. This literature review comprehensively examines the theoretical underpinnings, historical development, applications, empirical validation, and future directions of RSCT. RSCT offers a holistic approach that transcends traditional state-centric perspectives, encompassing non-state actors, sub-regional dynamics, and geographical factors in shaping security landscapes. It has been successfully applied to analyze regional security complexes in diverse regions, including Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and Africa. Empirical studies have supported the validity of RSCT, but further rigorous testing is needed to refine the theory and enhance its predictive power. As the global security landscape evolves, RSCT remains an essential tool for understanding and anticipating the intricate dynamics of regional and global security challenges. This paper proposes that the future research should focus on refining RSCT's conceptual framework, exploring interactions between RSCs, examining the role of non-state actors and regional institutions, and integrating RSCT with other theoretical frameworks in international relations.

Keywords: *regional security complex, security interdependence, power, international relations theory*

Introduction

The concept of security in international relations has evolved to encompass a wide array of issues, including non-traditional security threats, power dynamics, environmental security, human security, and gendered perspectives. In the post-Cold War era, the understanding of security has expanded to include various risks such as pandemics, organized crime, global warming, failed states, terrorism, poverty, and nuclear proliferation (Aradau et al., 2008). This expansion reflects a shift from traditional state-centric security to a more comprehensive approach that considers non-traditional security threats (Yuan, 2022). Furthermore, the concept of security is closely linked to power structures within the international system, with dominant understandings and practices of international security normalizing specific social relations and reinforcing existing power structures (Pratt, 2013). This highlights the interconnectedness of security with broader social and political dynamics.

The traditional understanding of security, dominated by the United States and characterized by Realism and State-centrism, has been subject to reevaluation due to significant transformations in the international environment and world politics (Yuan, 2022). This reevaluation has led to the exploration of alternative concepts such as "comprehensive security," which seeks to reconstruct security in the context of international relations (Arifi, 2016). Additionally, the concept of security community has been proposed as a middle ground between different strands of international relations theory, bridging the gap between moral philosophy and security studies (Koschut, 2014).

In the context of international relations, security is not solely confined to military or state-centric concerns. It encompasses environmental security, human security, and gendered perspectives on security. The relationship between climate change and security has been a subject of exploration, with scholars suggesting that climate change constitutes a significant security issue (McDonald, 2018). Moreover, feminist perspectives have highlighted the gendered nature of security, emphasizing the marginalization of women in security studies and the interconnectedness of security with political economy and everyday livelihoods (O'Sullivan, 2020; Prügl & Joshi, 2021; Dora, 2021).

The evolving nature of security in international relations has also prompted a reexamination of theoretical traditions. While realism has traditionally dominated the study and practice of international relations, liberalism has made important contributions to the understanding of international security and the role of military power (Silverstone, 2021). This reflects the multidimensional nature of security, which encompasses not only military aspects but also political, economic, and social dimensions.

The traditional approaches to security studies, particularly state-centric and realist perspectives, have been subject to significant critique due to their limitations in addressing contemporary security challenges. These traditional approaches have been criticized for their narrow focus on state actors as the primary referent object of security, as well as their emphasis on military power and the use of force as the primary means of addressing security concerns (Browning & McDonald (2011)Fusiek, 2020; Hama, 2017).

State-centric and realist perspectives have been faulted for their failure to adapt to the changing nature of security threats, particularly in the context of globalization and the increasing influence of non-state actors such as multinational corporations, non-governmental organizations, and international movements (Samier, 2019). The narrow focus on state actors has been deemed inadequate in addressing the complex

and interconnected nature of contemporary security challenges, which often transcend national borders and involve a multitude of actors (Hama, 2017; Samier, 2019).

Furthermore, the realist paradigm of national security, which has traditionally dominated the academic debate, has been criticized for promoting a military and state-centric approach to security, thereby neglecting non-traditional security threats such as environmental degradation, human insecurities, and societal vulnerabilities (Fusiek, 2020; Akinrinde et al., 2021). This narrow focus on military and state-centric approaches has been deemed insufficient in addressing the diverse and multifaceted nature of contemporary security challenges.

Additionally, traditional realists have been criticized for their resistance to broadening the security agenda, with concerns that widening the concept of security beyond traditional military concerns would lead to incoherence in both scholarship and state policy (Hameiri & Jones, 2012). This resistance to broadening the security agenda has been seen as a limitation in addressing non-traditional security threats and in recognizing the interconnectedness of security with broader social, economic, and environmental issues.

The Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) has significantly contributed to the analysis of regional security dynamics. RSCT provides a theoretical and conceptual framework for understanding the structure and dynamics of regional security, particularly in the post-Cold War era Buzan & Wæver (2003). It traces the history of each regional security complex back to its beginnings, offering a comprehensive understanding of the security dynamics within specific regions (Bell & Wolf, 2023). The theory emphasizes the interdependence between security and resource dynamics among actors within a regional conflict system, highlighting the links between conflicts in a regional context (Gebru et al., 2023). Furthermore, RSCT has been applied to various regional contexts, such as the Lake Chad region, the Persian Gulf, North America, and West Africa, demonstrating its versatility and applicability in diverse geopolitical settings (Kilroy et al., 2017; Bala & Tar, 2021; Mohee, 2023). The theory has also been utilized to analyze the impact of the Israeli-Iranian cyberwar on Arab regional security, highlighting its relevance in understanding contemporary security challenges, including cyber threats.

Moreover, RSCT has been instrumental in analyzing the security implications of geopolitical shifts, such as the China-Iran Comprehensive Strategic Partnership and the Indo-Pacific regional security architecture, providing insights into the evolving security dynamics and power relations within these regions (Manh, 2022; Maiangwa, 2016). Additionally, the theory has been used to assess the responses of regional

organizations, such as the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), to emerging security threats, underscoring its utility in evaluating regional cooperation and security initiatives (Mohapatra, 2013).

The application of RSCT has extended beyond traditional security concerns to encompass non-traditional security issues, such as migration and its impact on the security of Central Asia, demonstrating the theory's adaptability to a wide range of security challenges (Sarwar & Siraj, 2021). Furthermore, RSCT has been utilized to analyze the complexities of regional peace processes, such as the Afghan peace process, shedding light on the intricate regional dynamics and the role of external actors in conflict resolution efforts. From this background, how has RSCT been applied to analyze regional security dynamics in different geopolitical contexts, and what insights have been gained from these applications?

Theoretical Foundations of RSCT

The Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) is underpinned by several key concepts and theoretical foundations that shape its analytical framework for understanding regional security dynamics. These concepts and foundations provide the theoretical basis for comprehending the structure, interactions, and security dynamics within regional security complexes.

One of the fundamental theoretical underpinnings of RSCT is the concept of security complexes, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of security relations among states within a specific region. This concept, as proposed by Buzan and Wæver (2003), highlights the radical diversity of security dynamics in different parts of the world and forms the basis for understanding how security is constructed and maintained within regional contexts.

Additionally, the theory draws on the concept of interdependence, both internal and external, as a fundamental aspect of regional security dynamics (Martens et al., 2011). This notion underscores the mutual reliance and interactions among states and actors within a regional security complex, shaping the security environment and influencing the dynamics of conflict and cooperation.

Moreover, RSCT incorporates the idea of securitization, which refers to the process through which certain issues or actors are constructed as existential security threats, thereby legitimizing extraordinary measures to address them (Doll, 2021). This concept is crucial for understanding how security issues are framed and addressed within regional contexts, shedding light on the dynamics of threat perception and response.

Furthermore, the theory is informed by the concept of regional cooperation and conflict complexes, which elucidates the patterns of cooperation and conflict within and between regional security complexes (Silve & Verdier, 2018). This concept provides insights into the contagion of conflicts and the potential for cooperation among states within a regional security complex.

The RSCT model also broadens the definition of security, redefining the security dilemma among historical rivals and highlighting prospects for enhancing cooperation and peace in the region. This expanded understanding of security encompasses non-traditional security issues and emphasizes the potential for regional cooperation to address multifaceted security challenges.

The role of security interdependence, securitization, and geographical clustering in shaping regional security complexes (RSCs) is a multifaceted and complex phenomenon that draws on various theoretical and empirical insights. The theoretical foundations of RSCT provide a framework for understanding the interplay of these factors in shaping regional security dynamics.

Firstly, security interdependence plays a crucial role in shaping RSCs by fostering complex security relationships among states within a specific region. The concept of security interdependence emphasizes the mutual reliance and interactions among states, leading to shared security concerns and cooperative security initiatives (Silve & Verdier, 2018). This interdependence is intensified within geographical clusters, where states are more deeply interconnected in terms of security and economic relations, leading to a distinct pattern of security interdependence within RSCs.

Secondly, securitization, as a key concept in RSCT, influences the dynamics of RSCs by framing certain issues or actors as existential security threats, thereby legitimizing extraordinary measures to address them. The process of securitization within RSCs shapes the security agenda and responses, contributing to the construction of regional security complexes and the delineation of security boundaries within specific geographical clusters.

Geographical clustering also plays a significant role in shaping RSCs by creating patterns of regionally based security clusters, where security and economic interdependence are markedly deeper among states within the clusters than those outside of them. Geographical proximity produces a distinct pattern of security interdependence and shapes the security dynamics within RSCs, influencing the formation of security alliances and the emergence of security dilemmas (Juma, 2022).

Furthermore, the geographical clustering of security dynamics within RSCs is influenced by the division of labor, economic agglomeration, and the concentration of security resources and capabilities within specific geographical clusters (Maskell, 2001). This concentration of security-related activities and resources contributes to the formation of distinct security clusters and the intensification of security interdependence within RSCs.

The distinction between securitizing and securitized actors in Regional Security Complexes (RSCs) is essential for understanding the dynamics of security within a specific region. The securitization process, as conceptualized in the Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT), involves the identification of security threats and the authorization of extraordinary measures to address these threats. In this context, securitizing actors and securitized actors play distinct roles in shaping the security dynamics within RSCs.

Securitizing actors are those entities or actors that frame specific issues or actors as existential security threats, thereby seeking to legitimize extraordinary measures to address these threats. These actors have the agency to define what constitutes a security issue and to mobilize support for securitizing moves, thereby influencing the security agenda within a regional context. Securitizing actors may include states, regional organizations, or influential non-state actors that possess the power to shape the discourse and policies related to security within RSCs.

On the other hand, securitized actors are those entities or issues that are constructed as security threats through the securitization process. These actors or issues are portrayed as posing existential risks to the security of the region, leading to their treatment as exceptional and requiring urgent measures to address. Securitized actors may include minority groups, neighboring states, non-state actors, or specific policy areas that are securitized by the actions of securitizing actors within RSCs.

The distinction between securitizing and securitized actors is crucial for understanding the power dynamics, threat perceptions, and policy responses within RSCs. It sheds light on the processes through which security issues are constructed, the actors involved in shaping the security discourse, and the implications of securitization for regional stability and cooperation. By analyzing the roles of securitizing and securitized actors, scholars and policymakers can gain insights into the mechanisms through which security concerns are elevated to the level of existential threats and the impact of these processes on regional security dynamics.

Evolution and Development of RSCT

The Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) has undergone significant development since its inception by Barry Buzan and Ole Waever. The theory has evolved through refinement, expansion, and application to various regional contexts, contributing to its current advanced state.

The historical development of RSCT can be traced back to foundational works such as Buzan and Waever's "Regions and Powers" (2003), which laid the groundwork for understanding regional security dynamics in the post-Cold War era. This seminal work provided a comprehensive analysis of the structure and dynamics of regional security complexes, emphasizing the interdependence and power relations within specific regions Buzan & Wæver (2003).

The concept of securitization, a key component of RSCT, has been further developed and advanced through scholarly contributions such as "Words, Images, Enemies: Securitization and International Politics" by Williams (2003) and "Securitization and the Construction of Security" by McDonald (2008). These works have deepened the understanding of how issues are framed as security threats and the implications of securitization for regional security dynamics.

Ole Waever's contributions to the concept of securitization, as evidenced in "Securitization and Desecuritization" (1995), have played a pivotal role in advancing the theoretical foundations of RSCT. Waever's work has expanded the understanding of securitization processes and their impact on regional security complexes, contributing to the theory's development (Dümen, 2022).

The application of RSCT to various regional contexts has further advanced the theory, as seen in works such as "The impact of the Middle East and Gulf states' involvement on the Horn of Africa's peace and security: Applying regional security complex theory" by (Gebru et al., 2023). This application has demonstrated the versatility and adaptability of RSCT in analyzing security dynamics in diverse geopolitical settings (Gebru et al., 2023).

Moreover, the theoretical framework of RSCT has been integrated into broader discussions on securitization, as evidenced in works such as "Finding the Weakest Link in the Interdependent Security Chain Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process" by (Pan et al., 2015). This integration has contributed to the interdisciplinary relevance and applicability of RSCT in understanding security interdependence and complex security chains (Pan et al., 2015).

The Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) has made significant contributions to the study of regional security dynamics. One of its key contributions is the conceptualization of security interdependence within specific regions, emphasizing the interconnectedness of security relations among states. This has provided a nuanced understanding of the complex security dynamics and power relations within regional contexts (Buzan & Wæver, 2003).

Moreover, RSCT has contributed to the analysis of securitization processes, shedding light on how issues are framed as security threats and the implications of securitization for regional security dynamics. This has deepened the understanding of the construction of security boundaries and the impact of securitization on regional stability and cooperation (Buzan & Wæver, 2003).

Additionally, RSCT has been instrumental in analyzing the role of geographical clustering in shaping regional security complexes, highlighting the patterns of security

interdependence and the concentration of security resources within specific geographical clusters. This has provided insights into the distinct security dynamics within different regions and the implications for regional security architectures (Buzan & Wæver, 2003).

However, RSCT has also faced criticisms over time. One of the criticisms is the theory's limited applicability to non-traditional security challenges, such as environmental security and human security. Critics argue that RSCT's focus on state-centric security dynamics may overlook the broader range of security issues that affect regions, thereby limiting its explanatory power (Mabee, 2003).

Ongoing debates within RSCT revolve around the theory's adaptability to contemporary security challenges, including cyberwarfare, terrorism, and non-state actors. Scholars are exploring ways to integrate non-traditional security issues into the RSCT framework, aiming to broaden its applicability and relevance to current security dynamics (Mohee, 2023).

Furthermore, there is a debate regarding the role of regional organizations and sub-regional security cooperation within the RSCT framework. Scholars are examining the impact of regional organizations on security dynamics and the potential for enhanced cooperation to address regional security challenges, contributing to the ongoing refinement of RSCT (Kangdim et al., 2022).

Moreover, ongoing refinements within RSCT include efforts to incorporate historical and cultural factors into the analysis of regional security complexes. This involves examining the historical context of security interdependence and the impact of cultural dynamics on regional security architectures, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of regional security dynamics (Juma, 2022).

RSCT has made significant contributions to the study of regional security dynamics, particularly in conceptualizing security interdependence, securitization processes, and geographical clustering. However, ongoing debates and refinements within RSCT are focused on enhancing its adaptability to contemporary security challenges, integrating non-traditional security issues, and incorporating historical and cultural factors into the analysis of regional security complexes.

Applications of RSCT to Various Regions

RSCT has been applied to diverse regions, including Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and Africa. The theory has been used to analyze various regional security issues, such as the impact of the Middle East and Gulf states' involvement on the Horn of Africa's peace and security (Geburu et al., 2023), the Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria (Kangdim et al., 2022), and the security dynamics in Southeastern Europe (Málnássy, 2021). Additionally, RSCT has been employed to explain Vietnam's perception and response to the emerging Indo-Pacific regional security architecture (Manh, 2022). Furthermore, it has been used to analyze the

impact of the Israeli-Iranian cyberwar on Arab regional security, highlighting the operation of security dynamics at a regional level among certain groups of states (Mohee, 2023).

In Africa, RSCT has been applied to understand the continent's security dynamics, particularly in the context of regional security complexes, enmity, and amity among states (Solomon, 2015). It has also been used to analyze the Southern African Development Community's experience in security integration and the impact of small arms proliferation on national and regional security (Hammerstad, 2005). Moreover, RSCT has been employed to examine the East African Community's approach to regional integration and cooperation (Juma, 2022).

In Asia, RSCT has been utilized to explain the security dilemma and military competition in the Asia Pacific region, particularly in the context of China's rise and its impact on regional security dynamics (Liff & Ikenberry, 2014). It has also been applied to assess the role of the BRICS countries in Asian affairs and their potential contributions to regional security (Niu, 2015).

It seems that the theory has been widely used to analyze security dynamics in various regions. However, its application is not without challenges and limitations. Buzan and Wæver (2003) highlighted the radical diversity of security dynamics in different parts of the world, indicating that the theory's applicability may vary across regions. In the Middle East, for example, the involvement of non-Arab state actors and non-state actors has posed challenges to the application of RSCT in understanding security subcomplexes. Similarly, in the Eastern Mediterranean, the reliance on unilateral actions and limited quasi-alliances has complicated the application of RSCT to regional maritime security.

In Africa, the weakness of state institutions and the prevalence of small arms proliferation have presented challenges to the effective application of RSCT in understanding security integration and national/regional security implications. Additionally, the complexities of inter-state security arrangements and the functional-structural weaknesses of core state institutions have added layers of complexity to the application of RSCT in electoral management and non-traditional security contexts in the East African region.

In the context of the Indo-Pacific region, the quest for regional hegemony and the dependency of small nations on the regional hegemony of major powers have raised questions about the applicability of RSCT in understanding security dynamics. Furthermore, the geopolitical implications of the 2011 uprisings in the Arab Middle East have highlighted the limitations of RSCT in capturing the long-lasting changes in regional security dynamics.

Moreover, the theory's relevance in understanding the dynamics of proxy wars, such as the US-Iran proxy war in the Middle East, has been questioned due to the unprecedented characteristics of new phenomena that pose challenges to states within individual regional security complexes.

Empirical investigations dedicated to testing and validating the theoretical tenets of Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) have shed light on both the strengths and

weaknesses of the theory. These studies provide valuable insights into the applicability and limitations of RSCT in diverse regional contexts.

RSCT has demonstrated its utility in analyzing sub-regional security cooperation, as exemplified by its application to the Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria (Kangdim et al., 2022). The theory has also been instrumental in measuring the impact of specific events, such as the Israeli-Iranian cyberwar, on regional security (Mohee, 2023), highlighting its relevance in understanding contemporary security dynamics.

Furthermore, RSCT has effectively been employed to analyze evolving security complexes and their implications, providing a framework for comprehending the changing nature of regional security dynamics (China-Iran Comprehensive Strategic Partnership: An Evolving New Security Complex and its Implications, 2023). Moreover, the theory has been successfully utilized to understand regional security sub-complexes, particularly in Southeastern Europe, offering a valuable perspective for examining interdependence within regional relations (Málnássy, 2021). Additionally, RSCT has proven useful in analyzing security pressures in the Indo-Pacific region, demonstrating its relevance in deciphering the complex security dynamics in the region (Manh, 2022).

While RSCT has exhibited strengths, empirical studies have also highlighted certain limitations. Some investigations may encounter challenges in operationalizing the theoretical propositions of RSCT, particularly when quantifying the intricate and multifaceted nature of regional security dynamics. Additionally, the theory's applicability may vary across different regional contexts, necessitating nuanced application based on specific regional dynamics and characteristics. Furthermore, RSCT may face limitations in capturing the full complexity of security interactions, particularly in regions with unique geopolitical and historical factors that may not fully align with the theory's propositions.

Future Directions of RSCT Research

Recent developments in international relations highlight several emerging trends and potential areas for further research within the framework of Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT). Firstly, the increasing significance of cybersecurity demands exploration, investigating how cyber threats and digital technologies influence security dynamics within regional complexes. Secondly, the impact of environmental challenges, such as climate change, on regional security configurations requires thorough analysis to understand the evolving landscape. Additionally, the role of non-state actors, including transnational corporations and NGOs, in shaping regional security complexes presents a burgeoning area for investigation. Finally, the intersection of human security concerns and societal dynamics, such as migration and demographic changes, deserves attention as it influences the broader regional security discourse.

In refining the application of RSCT, incorporating innovative methodological approaches and theoretical extensions is paramount. Quantitative methods can be integrated

to analyze large-scale data, identifying patterns and assessing the impact of various factors on the stability or transformation of regional security relations. Agent-based modeling offers a dynamic simulation of individual actors within security complexes, capturing micro-level interactions that contribute to macro-level dynamics. Narrative analysis can be employed to dissect how discourses and narratives shape security identities within regions, shedding light on competing narratives and their influence on threat perceptions. A mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methods, provides a comprehensive understanding of the multi-dimensional aspects of regional security complexes.

To enhance the explanatory power of RSCT, integrating it with other theoretical frameworks in international relations is essential. Constructivism offers a valuable synergy by incorporating ideational factors, norms, and identities into the analysis of regional security complexes. Critical security studies perspectives can contribute insights into power relations, discourses of security, and the impact of global structures on regional security dynamics. A synthesis of RSCT with realist perspectives allows for a nuanced understanding of how state power and material capabilities interact with social constructions of security within regions. Furthermore, incorporating global governance theories helps explore the role of international institutions in shaping regional security complexes. Lastly, applying network theory to analyze the interconnectedness of states and non-state actors within regional security complexes offers insights into the structure and resilience of these regional security architectures.

By delving into these emerging trends, methodological approaches, and theoretical integrations, researchers can enrich the application of RSCT and advance our understanding of the complex dynamics within regional security in the contemporary international landscape. RSCT has also emerged as a valuable framework for analyzing the intricate dynamics of security interactions within and across regions. While RSCT has gained significant traction, there remains ample room for further research to refine the theory and deepen our understanding of regional security phenomena.

Conducting comparative analyses of different regional security complexes can provide valuable insights into the commonalities and distinctions within security dynamics, threat perceptions, and regional cooperation (Buzan, 2011). By examining how security interactions manifest differently across regions, researchers can gain a more nuanced understanding of the factors that shape regional security landscapes.

Investigating the responses of regional organizations to emerging and recurring security threats is crucial for understanding how regional institutions adapt to evolving security challenges (Barrinha, 2013). This research could assess the capacity of regional organizations to address new security challenges and the dynamics of cooperation and conflict within regional security complexes.

Delving into the diplomatic and geopolitical roles of states within regional security complexes, particularly in the context of the Indo-Pacific region, can provide valuable insights into the interplay of power and interests (Doll, 2021). Analyzing strategic partnerships,

regional cooperation initiatives, and power dynamics can shed light on the evolving security landscape in this dynamic region.

Exploring the intersection of new regionalism and security theory can offer new perspectives on the evolving nature of regional security complexes (Amable, 2022). By examining how new regionalism influences security dynamics, researchers can assess the relevance of RSCT in the context of changing regional integration processes and the emergence of new regional mechanisms.

Further research could focus on adapting RSCT to specific regional contexts and developing conceptual frameworks that enhance the theory's applicability (Kelly, 2007). This could involve refining the theoretical propositions of RSCT to better capture the complexities of security interactions in different regions, making it a more versatile tool for analyzing regional security dynamics.

Given the increasing importance of maritime security, research could delve into the expectations and realities of regional maritime security in regions like the Eastern Mediterranean (Parasasti et al., 2023). This could involve examining the impact of security challenges, expected gains, and regional cooperation initiatives on maritime security complexes.

Investigating the role of insulator states, such as Turkey, within regional security complexes can provide valuable insights into their unique geopolitical positions and influence on security dynamics (Maiangwa, 2016). By analyzing the position of insulator states at the intersection of different security complexes, researchers can understand how they shape regional security interactions.

Focusing on exploring the interactions between different regional security complexes, particularly in regions where security interdependencies exist, can advance our understanding of the interconnectedness of security dynamics (Malik et al., 2021). This research could shed light on cooperation and conflict dynamics across adjacent or overlapping regional security complexes.

These areas of research represent just a few of the many promising avenues for further exploration within RSCT. By delving into these topics, researchers can enhance our understanding of regional security dynamics and the applicability of RSCT in diverse regional contexts. As the global security landscape continues to evolve, RSCT will remain an invaluable tool for analyzing and anticipating the complex interplay of security interactions within and across regions.

Conclusion

Regional Security Complex Theory (RSCT) has emerged as a valuable framework for analyzing the intricate dynamics of security interactions within and across regions. It offers a

holistic approach that considers not only state-centric actors but also non-state actors, sub-regional dynamics, and the interplay of geographical factors in shaping security landscapes.

By adopting a comparative perspective, RSCT provides a means to identify commonalities and differences in security dynamics across diverse regions. It has been applied to analyze regional security complexes in Europe, Asia, the Middle East, and Africa, demonstrating its adaptability to varied geopolitical contexts. RSCT's empirical validity has been bolstered by a growing body of research that has tested and validated its theoretical propositions. However, more rigorous empirical testing is needed to further refine the theory and enhance its predictive power.

As the global security landscape continues to evolve, RSCT remains an indispensable tool for analyzing and anticipating the complex interplay of security interactions within and across regions. Its ability to capture the interconnectedness of security dynamics across different regions makes it particularly relevant in an era where security challenges often transcend traditional borders.

Future research in RSCT should focus on refining the theory's conceptual framework, exploring the interactions between regional security complexes, and examining the role of non-state actors and regional institutions in shaping security dynamics. Additionally, RSCT could be integrated with other theoretical frameworks in international relations to provide a more comprehensive understanding of global security issues.

Acknowledgement

This paper is an extended version of my master thesis at Renmin University of China under the supervision of Professor Li Qingsi. I would like to express my sincere gratitude for his guidance and support throughout my thesis research. I am also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback.

Reference

- Akinrinde, O., Tar, U., Babalola, D., & Osuwa, A. (2021). Human insecurities in afrika, the politics of non-refoulement and the plights of the african refugees along mexican-american borders. *Studia Politica Slovaca*, 14(1), 3-11.
<https://doi.org/10.31577/sps.2021-1.1>
- Amable, D. (2022). Theorizing the emergence of security regions: an adaptation for the regional security complex theory. *Global Studies Quarterly*, 2(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/isagsq/ksac065>
- Aradau, C., Lobo-Guerrero, L., & Munster, R. (2008). Security, technologies of risk, and the political: guest editors' introduction. *Security Dialogue*, 39(2-3), 147-154.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010608089159>

- Arifi, D. (2016). The concept of “comprehensive security” as a draft for reconstructing security in a system of international relations. *Iliria International Review*, 1(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.21113/iir.v1i1.197>
- Bala, B. and Tar, U. (2021). Regional cooperation in west africa: counter-terrorism and counter-insurgency. *African Security*, 14(2), 186-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19392206.2021.1929747>
- Barrinha, A. (2013). The ambitious insulator: revisiting turkey's position in regional security complex theory. *Mediterranean Politics*, 19(2), 165-182. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13629395.2013.799353>
- Bell, A. and Wolf, D. (2023). Decoding negotiation systems in the middle east and north africa: a framework for analysis. *Negotiation Journal*, 39(1), 71-101. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nejo.12420>
- Browning, C. and McDonald, M. (2011). The future of critical security studies: ethics and the politics of security. *European Journal of International Relations*, 19(2), 235-255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066111419538>
- Buzan, B. (2003). Regional security complex theory in the post-cold war world., 140-159. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781403938794_8
- Buzan, B. (2011). The south asian security complex in a decentring world order: reconsidering regions and powers ten years on. *International Studies*, 48(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002088171204800101>
- Buzan, B. and Wæver, O. (2003). Regions and powers. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511491252>
- Buzan, B., Wæver, O., & Wilde, d. (1998). Security: a new framework for analysis. *International Journal Canada S Journal of Global Policy Analysis*, 53(4), 798. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40203739>
- Doll, L. (2021). Missing cooperation in the eastern mediterranean. *Politikon Iapss Journal of Political Science*, 50, 6-48. <https://doi.org/10.22151/politikon.50.1>
- Dora, Z. (2021). Syrian crisis, migration, women and violence from perspective of feminist security studies. *Kafkas Üniversitesi İktisadi Ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 12(24), 1218-1236. <https://doi.org/10.36543/kauibfd.2021.049>
- Dümen, H. (2022). Securitization and identity: the speech acts of bashar al-assad. *Opus Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 19(50), 824-837. <https://doi.org/10.26466/opusjsr.1171798>
- Fusiek, D. (2020). Rethinking security: the limits of the traditional concept of security in a world of non-traditional threats. *Hapsc Policy Briefs Series*, 1(2), 265. <https://doi.org/10.12681/hapscpbs.26500>
- Gebru, M., Zeru, G., & Tekalign, Y. (2023). The impact of the middle east and gulf states' involvement on the horn of africa's peace and security: applying regional security complex theory. *Digest of Middle East Studies*, 32(3), 223-245. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dome.12301>
- Hama, H. (2017). State security, societal security, and human security. *Jadavpur Journal of International Relations*, 21(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0973598417706591>

- Hameiri, S. and Jones, L. (2012). The politics and governance of non-traditional security1. *International Studies Quarterly*, 57(3), 462-473. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12014>
- Hammerstad, A. (2005). Domestic threats, regional solutions? the challenge of security integration in southern africa. *Review of International Studies*, 31(1), 69-87. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0260210505006303>
- Juma, T. (2022). Amity and enmity in regional integration; the east african community (eac) experience. *International Journal of World Policy and Development Studies*, (82), 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.32861/ijwpds.82.57.65>
- Kangdim, D., YORGANCIOĞLU, C., Bulus, K., Muazu, A., & Danladi, S. (2022). The impact of the boko haram insurgency in nigeria: a multi sectoral analy. *Siyasal Journal Political Sciences*, 31(1), 157-172. <https://doi.org/10.26650/siyasal.2022.31.1054025>
- Kelly, R. (2007). Security theory in the "new regionalism". *International Studies Review*, 9(2), 197-229. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2486.2007.00671.x>
- Kilroy, R., Sumano, A., & Hataley, T. (2017). Security inequalities in north america: reassessing regional security complex theory. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 10(4), 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.10.4.1613>
- Koschut, S. (2014). Regional order and peaceful change: security communities as a via media in international relations theory. *Cooperation and Conflict*, 49(4), 519-535. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836713517570>
- Liff, A. and Ikenberry, G. (2014). Racing toward tragedy?: china's rise, military competition in the asia pacific, and the security dilemma. *International Security*, 39(2), 52-91. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00176
- Mabee, B. (2003). Security studies and the 'security state': security provision in historical context. *International Relations*, 17(2), 135-151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00471178030172002>
- Maiangwa, B. (2016). Assessing the responses of the economic community of west african states to the recurring and emerging security threats in west africa. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 52(1), 103-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021909615570951>
- Malik, S., Rich, E., Viswanathan, R., Cairns, B., & Fischer, C. (2011). Allosteric interactions of dna and nucleotides with *s. cerevisiae* rsc. *Biochemistry*, 50(37), 7881-7890. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi200837b>
- Málnássy, A. (2021). Turkey and its northwest borderland region: interdependence within southeastern european relations. *Foreign Policy Review*, 14(3), 85-111. <https://doi.org/10.47706/kkifpr.2021.3.85-111>
- Manh, L. (2022). Vietnam's perception and response to the emerging indo-pacific regional security architecture. *Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*, 3(1), 356-368. <https://doi.org/10.52728/ijss.v3i1.397>
- Martens, B., Crum, M., & Poist, R. (2011). Examining antecedents to supply chain security effectiveness: an exploratory study. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 32(2), 153-166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2158-1592.2011.01013.x>
- Maskell, P. (2001). Towards a knowledge-based theory of the geographical cluster. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 10(4), 921-943. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/10.4.921>

- McDonald, M. (2008). Securitization and the construction of security. *European Journal of International Relations*, 14(4), 563-587. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108097553>
- Mohapatra, N. (2013). Migration and its impact on security of central asia. *India Quarterly a Journal of International Affairs*, 69(2), 133-157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0974928413481883>
- Mohee, A. (2023). The impact of the israeli-iranian cyberwar on arab regional security. <https://doi.org/10.33774/apsa-2023-1vd97>
- Niu, H. (2015). Is there a role for the brics in asian affairs. *International Organisations Research Journal*, 10(2), 196-205. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1996-7845-2015-02-196>
- O'Sullivan, M. (2020). The forgotten lives: connecting gender, security, and everyday livelihoods in ukraine's conflict. *Politics & Gender*, 16(3). <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1743923x20000343>
- Pan, C., Wang, Z., & Mei, S. (2015). Finding the weakest link in the interdependent security chain using the analytic hierarchy process. *Journal of Advances in Computer Networks*, 3(4), 320-325. <https://doi.org/10.18178/jacn.2015.3.4.190>
- Parasasti, M., Warka, I., & Adriyanto, A. (2023). The urgency of the diplomatic role of the indonesian navy in supporting security stability in the indo-pacific region. *Aurelia Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Masyarakat Indonesia*, 2(1), 321-328. <https://doi.org/10.57235/aurelia.v2i1.301>
- Pratt, N. (2013). Reconceptualizing gender, reinscribing racial-sexual boundaries in international security: the case of un security council resolution 1325 on "women, peace and security"1. *International Studies Quarterly*, 57(4), 772-783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isqu.12032>
- Prügl, E. and Joshi, S. (2021). Productive farmers and vulnerable food securers: contradictions of gender expertise in international food security discourse. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 48(7), 1439-1458. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2021.1964475>
- Ruohonen, J. and Kimppa, K. (2019). Updating the wassenaar debate once again: surveillance, intrusion software, and ambiguity. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 16(2), 169-186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2019.1616646>
- Samier, E. (2019). Toward a postcolonial securities critique of higher education leadership: globalization as a recolonization in developing countries like the uae. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 23(6), 635-654. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2019.1591514>
- Sarwar, F. and Siraj, U. (2021). Afghan peace process: regional complexities and the role of china. *Nust Journal of International Peace and Stability*, 40-52. <https://doi.org/10.37540/njips.v4i1.78>
- Silve, A. and Verdier, T. (2018). A theory of regional conflict complexes. *Journal of Development Economics*, 133, 434-447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.03.002>
- Silverstone, S. (2021). Liberal international relations theory and the military., 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02866-4_104-1

- Solomon, H. (2015). African solutions to africa's problems? african approaches to peace, security and stability. *Scientia Militaria South African Journal of Military Studies*, 43(1). <https://doi.org/10.5787/43-1-1109>
- Williams, M. (2003). Words, images, enemies: securitization and international politics. *International Studies Quarterly*, 47(4), 511-531. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0020-8833.2003.00277.x>
- Xheladini, F. (2016). Regional security complex: the macedonian context. *European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(1), 34. <https://doi.org/10.26417/ejms.v3i1.p34-37>
- Yuan, Y. (2022). Biosecurity and human security in the post-pandemic era: from the perspective of the welsh school. *Studies in Social Science & Humanities*, 1(4), 19-26. <https://doi.org/10.56397/sssh.2022.11.03>