SEMANTIC ALTERNATION FROM ANIMAL TO HUMAN UNDER SEMANTIC FIELD PERSPECTIVES
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Abstract:

Concerning linguistic aspects, especially the meanings of words have been deeply studied by linguists in recent years, which have achieved diversely lexicology-related results. However, determining the meanings of language units in general and words, in particular, is a long-term and complicated process. For this reason, theory-applied research still needs to be deeply continued. It can be admitted that traditional culture is deeply rooted in the subconscious of each ethnic group exposed in their language. As a result, linguistic research into the interrelation of cognition and culture is necessary and urgent in the world and Vietnam as well. The research results show the contextual changes in the meanings of words from animal to human concerning semantic fields. When semantic change takes place in a semantic field, the semantically changed words remain their originally semantic nuances, which form two socio-linguistic trends - the positive and negative. According to the primary data, the number of positive words showed only 2 cases while the words with negative meaning made up 44 cases. The majority of words tend to be transformed negatively. These stems were coined based on the Vietnamese's cognition about the animals. It is quite noteworthy that whenever Vietnamese people argue in informal contexts, they tend to employ animal-related words that verbally shape typically exclusive features in the Vietnamese language.
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A. Introduction

The meanings of words in particular and the semantic changes have been examined under various linguistic theories by various linguists. However, to determine the meanings of language units in general and words, in particular, is not simple for everyone. The studies on the semantic issues of languages have achieved outstanding results so far in linguistics. It can be admitted that traditional culture is deeply rooted in the subconscious of each ethnic group exposed through their language, adding that culture is only revealed through language. As a result, linguistic research into the
interrelation of cognition and culture is a necessary and urgent domain in socio-linguistics. As we know, the phenomenon of semantic change from one semantic field to another one is a universal concept in natural languages, which helps languages form a wide range of meanings based on the finitely natural sounds and thereby enrich the meanings of words. However, the research into a semantic alternation of a specific semantic field from one to another has remained inherent gaps to be bridged. In Vietnam, the studies on lexicology related to the semantic field have acquired certain achievements; however, there are no other researches into the semantic changes between the animal and human semantic fields. Each author has just focused on research into certain words which appear at the center of semantic fields. To meet the linguistic research demands, the semantic changes between the animal semantic field and the human semantic field will be further discussed in this article.

B. Literature review

1. Researches on the semantic field

   The development of the semantic field theory originally started from the work of American anthropologists and German linguists in the early 20th century. These scholars were influenced by Humboldt, whose theory was related to the inner speech-form of language. This theory reflected the unique cognitive ability about the world of each ethnic group which was known as the premise for all semantic field theories. In addition to Humboldt's theory of the relationship between language and consciousness, the semantic field theory has been also based on the premise of Saussure’s structuralism (Guo, Changhong, 2010, 188). In 1973, F. de Saussure once stated that "the value of any factor is determined by the other surrounding”. He also used the example of a chessboard and chess pieces to persuade that no matter the material and the handle of the chess pieces, the key was its relation with other chess pieces in the chessboard. In other words, we must put a single chess piece into their strategically overall system. This idea was a decisive inspiration to formulate the semantic field theories. Thanks to this basic principle, a great number of researchers also had acquired the semantic field theory, such as Porzig, Stern, Trier, Jolles, Ohman, Matoré, Ullmann, Oskaar, Buttler, Perchonock and Werner, Kleparski, Lehrer, etc, especially, Trier's semantic field theory opened a new chapter in the history of semantics (Grzegorz A. Kleparski, Angelina Rusinek, 2007, 189).
However, later Porzig and Ipsen, R. Hallig, and W. Von Warburg, P.M Roget had a different perspective on this theory.


2. The semantic field theory
   a. The semantic field definition

   A semantic field is a set of words or lexemes related to meaning. Chau, D. H (1970, p.170) has defined semantic field relations more specifically as "a set of lexemes which cover a certain conceptual and bear certain specific relations to one another". Hence, the semantic relation between words has to be considered in the semantic field system. The lexemes in the same semantic field have common semantemes and of course they also have their semantemes. Moreover, when
analyzing the semantic relations between semantic fields, the key point is to position them in the broader semantic field system.

b. Semantic field categories

According to Chau, D. H (2007, p.170), the semantic field was divided into two categories: horizontal and vertical semantic fields. The vertical semantic field can be based on the meaning of words to distinguish the denotat and significate semantic fields. Furthermore, the associatively semantic field is established based on characteristics of association.

- **Vertical semantic field**
  + Denotat semantic field

  A denotat semantic field is a set of words that are related to each other in terms of denotatum. The denotat semantic fields differ in the number of words, the arrangement of units, and distribution domain in each language. When a word has many denotata, it may belong to different semantic fields. The semantic fields can penetrate and interfere with one another when some words can be figured out in these semantic fields. The relation of words to each denotat semantic field is not the same. Some words are close-knit to the semantic field while some have very blur features to this semantic field. Based on the nature and relationship between the word and the semantic field, there are core characteristics that define the semantic field, including prototypes. As a result, the farther the gap between characteristics of words and the core characteristics is, the less the involvement in this semantic field is.

+ **Significate semantic field**

  A Significate semantic field is a set of words that have the same significate structure. Moreover, a broad significate semantic field can also be divided into smaller significate semantic fields with different densities. Because a word has many significate meanings, they can belong to different significate semantic fields. The significate semantic fields interfere with and
penetrate each other, but the core characteristics are also preserved, especially in prototypes. To determine a significate semantic field, we base on a certain significate semantic structure as the root.

- **Horizontal semantic field**

  The horizontal semantic field first comes from the linearity of the linguistic signal; the signals take turn successively into a sequence that cannot occur simultaneously. Therefore this relationship is called horizontal relation or syntagmatic relation. To have a syntagmatic relationship with each other, the elements must perform the same function in terms of language or communication content. Thanks to the syntagmatic relationship, words expose their grammatical and lexical meanings. To create a linear semantic field, we choose a given word and then examine all words that have a similar relationship to the meaning of the root word, then combine them into acceptable linear sequences in a language.

- **Associative semantic field**

  An associative semantic field is a set of words representing things, phenomena, activities, and properties, etc which have an associative relationship with the root word. To form an associative semantic field, the words first and foremost must belong to the horizontal and vertical semantic fields. Besides, words in an associative semantic field depend on the possible associative meanings of the given word. The associative semantic field also has many associative words that simultaneously appear with the root of words in relatively homogeneous and repetitive themes. Therefore, the associative semantic field has features of nationalism, age, and individuality. They may have words with similar denotatum but also have different connotative meanings. As can be seen that each associative semantic field is established thanks to the similarities which are not related to the position in the speech sequence as well as to the substitution for each other.

c. **The central and boundary of the semantic field**

  A semantic field is characterized by systematic, hierarchical, synonymous, antonymous, central, and boundary features. However, only the central and
boundary in the semantic field are examined because the article mainly focuses on investigating this phenomenon. A word can have a wide range of meanings that may belong to different semantic fields. This phenomenon occurred in the boundary region which known as the interference phenomenon in the semantic field. In a semantic field, the numbers of words belong to the central region accounting for a small rate. In contrast, the majority of words belonging to the boundary area can be units in different semantic fields. Thanks to the interferences phenomenon in semantic fields, words allocated in the central region of the animal semantic field will be listed to analyze the semantic change from the animal semantic field to the human semantic field.

d. Semantic changes

Language is the most effective means of communication and the tool for human thinking. Language has multi-valence which means using the finite to denote the infinite. This rule is introduced in all aspects of linguistics: phonetics, semantics, morphology, and syntax. In the semantic aspect, two or more words possessing the same form and related meanings, they are termed polysemy. Theoretically, a given word originally has one denotatum, but it is gradually added new denotatum to refer to new concepts. The alternation of denotatum makes the language more and more diverse which promptly meets communication demand. The linguistic units always transform which initially only accepted by individuals, then gradually become broadly accepted in terms of scale in the communicative process. The diversification of meaning and the alternation of the meaning of words are also associated with the semantic fields. Because there are words with many denotata, they can be seen in many denotat semantic fields or many subfields depending on the number of denotatum of words. The semantic change of words often entails the semantic field change. When words appear with new meaning, they also retain some nuances of the original meaning which makes the expression more smooth and flexibly. Therefore, language acquisition is a complex thinking process related to culture, mindsets, and language rules.
C. **Research methodology**

1. **Data collection**

   The data served for this study was collected from Vietnamese dictionaries written by authors – Anh. D.D (2009) and Phe. H (2011). Besides, the data was also collected from the daily communication of Vietnamese people to investigate all the phenomena of semantic change from animal to human. At last, the data was deliberately divided into categories. These groups belong to the center of each semantic field and other ones are contained in the boundary or intersecting area.

2. **Linguistic methods and tactics**

   a. The method of seme analysis

      This method is an essential factor for analyzing the transformation of the meanings of words from the animal semantic field to the human semantic field in Vietnam.

   b. Method of description

      This method is used to describe the semantic change and phenomena in the study.

3. **Tactics of statistics and classification:**

   These tactics determine the number of words at the center of each semantic field as well as the words in the intersecting area.

D. **Findings**

   Every semantic field has words in the center and boundary. It is undeniable that there are large intersections between human and animal semantic. The language itself is originally used to show people’s cognition about the body parts, actions, sounds, emotional psychology, etc. The characteristic of humans is to take place as the root which means that objects and phenomena in society are referenced by the characteristics of human beings. As a result, humans tend to use the names of their body parts to nominate other things, including animals. Therefore, in terms of synchronic, we have several words lying on the boundary between the human and animal semantic fields.

   According to Hien's statistic research (2020), the number of Vietnamese human body parts showed 234 cases. To nominate 234 human body parts, in Vietnamese there were 325
names which included 270 Vietnamese names and 55 borrowed ones mainly from Chinese and Indo-European languages. All these words belong to the intersecting area between the human and animal semantic fields.

Consequently, these words were out of research aims, so they were removed from our research. Fortunately, there are 46 words in the center of the animal semantic field, including: Sũa (bark), bì (whinny), bò (twitter), gầm (roar), rú (yowl), ēng (yelp), rống (roar), đớp (bait), mỏ (beak), ’app (lower), đuôi (tail), vếnh tai (prick ears), mỏ (beak), mỏm (beak), danh bòi (scent), liu lo (chirp), riu rít (Twitter), phi (gallop), trò lớn (crawl), bò (crawl), ria (bait), mồm (beak), dắm (soak), búc (butt), rộn (play), vế (fin), cánh (wings), mang (gill), vây (scales), càng (pincers), yém (overalls of a crab), bong bông (bladder), phao câu (rump), mê (gizzard), mào (crest), cựa (heel), bờm (mane), vuốt (claws), vó (horse step), vỏ (shell), nọc (venom), kén (silkworm), mai (tortoise shell), lông (feather), ngà (ivory), cẳng (leg).

Thus, the data showed that 46 words were in the central region of the animal semantic field, and their semantic change transformed from the animal semantic field to the human semantic field.

E. Discussion

Words of the animal semantic field are simultaneously transformed into the human semantic field. Only two words retain their positive meanings after this change, which are: “liu lo” (twitter), and “riu rít” (chitter); for example: ‘chúng nó chơi đuổi với nhau rắt là riu rít’ (they play together in a chitter-like way), ‘cậu bé nghèo ngao hát liu lo như chim’ (the kid naively sings as birds twitter).

The semantic change of the 46 remaining words has a new meaning related to people but still retains nuances of their original negative meaning, for instances: in the sentence: ‘Thằng này chưa làm được tích sự gì, chỉ được cái bò (bark) là giải’, (This guy can do nothing, except for being good at “twittering”). There is another case as “sũa” (bark) in a collocation: “mày đừng có sũa nữa Dơi” (don’t bark anymore D!). When the center words of the animal semantic field are transformed into the human semantic field, they almost remain the negative meanings. About Vietnamese culture, many animals even bear symbols of evil, the cruelty of the fox, the stupidity and filth of a dog, but the bird’s song has a beautiful symbol in transformed meaning. This is the Vietnamese people's unique cognition of animals.
F. Conclusion

To sum up, the research shows the semantic field theory in several aspects such as the concept of the semantic field, semantic field categories, the phenomena of the center and boundary of the semantic field, and semantic field change. A total of 234 common words were figured out in the intersecting area of both human and animal semantic fields. The center region of the animal semantic field includes 46 words which can change their meaning from animal to human semantic field to describe the human characteristics. In the semantic field change process, these words still maintain their original nuances of meaning and combined with the new meaning either positive or negative. However, the number of negative words is only 2 cases while the negative words make up 44 cases. Therefore, most of the words are changed from the animal semantic field to the human semantic field in a negative way. For example, to some extent the Vietnamese residents argue in some geographically informal contexts, they partly tend to employ words in the animal semantic field. These stems are derived from the awareness of the animals of the Vietnamese.

Bibliography

A. Vietnamese language

1. Anh. D.D (2009), Hán Việt Từ điển (giản yếu), Nxb, VH-TT.
5. Giap. N.T (1999), Từ vựng học tiếng Việt, Nxb, GD.
6. Ha. N.T.T (2009), Trương nghĩa lựa chọn trong Truyền Kiều của Nguyễn Du và Thơ Tố Hủn, LV thuộc sách khoa học ngữ văn DHSP-HN.
10. Lyons. J. (2009), Ngữ nghĩa bộc dân luận (Translated by Nguyen Van Hiep), Nxb, GD.
12. F. De Saussure (1973), Giáo trình ngôn ngữ học đại diện, Nxb, KHXH.
15. Thiem. L.Q (2008), Ngữ nghĩa bộc (tập bái giảng), Nxb, GD, HN.
17. Toan. B.M (1999), Tít trong hoạt động giao tiếp tiếng Việt, Nxb, GD.
21. Tu. N.V (1976), Tít và ron tít tiếng Việt hiện đại, Nxb, ĐH & THCN, HN. 

B. Other languages


